

Pergamon

Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 35, No. 31, pp. 5615-5618, 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/94 \$7.00+0.00

0040-4039(94)01158-3

Total Synthesis of (±)-9-Deoxygoniopypyrone

Richard W. Friesen* and Suzanne Bissada

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Merck Frosst Centre for Therapeutic Research P.O. Box 1005, Pointe Claire-Dorval, Québec, Canada H9R 4P8

Abstract: The total synthesis of (\pm) -9-deoxygoniopypyrone (1) from the α -allenic alcohol 2 is described. The synthesis is accomplished in 10 steps with the relative configuration of the three contiguous asymmetric centers being established by the highly diastereoselective formation of the synvicinal diol 3 via the iodo-cyclofunctionalization reaction of the allenic carbamate 5 and the epoxidation of the olefinic acetonide 11.

Several novel bicyclic styryl lactones possessing cytotoxic activity were recently isolated from the stem bark of Goniothalamus giganteus Hook. f. & Thomas (Annonaceae).¹ One of the active components was identified as 9-deoxygoniopypyrone $(1).$ ^{1b} A subsequent report by Honda and co-workers described the synthesis of (+)-1 from 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-glyceraldehyde, securing the absolute configuration of the

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n\mathbf{H} & \mathbf{H} & \math
$$

natural compound as depicted.² We have been interested in the synthesis of polyfunctionalized acyclic systems via the stereocontrolled cyclofunctionalization of secondary α -allenic alcohol derivatives³ and viewed 1 as an ideal target for synthesis using our recently described method for the highly diastereoselective preparation of syn-vicinal diols.^{3b} Applying our iodocyclofunctionalization protocol to the α -allenic alcohol 2 would establish the requisite relative cis stereochemistry between the C7 and C8 hydroxy moieties (9deoxygoniopypyrone numbering) in putative intermediate 3. The functionality present in 3 would also allow for subsequent transformation to 1 via the α, β -unsaturated ester triol 4 using a stereoselective epoxidation of the unsaturated diol and chain extension. Herein, we describe the synthesis of (±)-1 according to this strategy (Scheme 1).⁴

The α -allenic alcohol 2^{3c} was converted via its N-toluensulfonyl carbamate derivative 5 into vinyl iodo syn-diol 3, using our previously reported iodocyclofunctionalization procedure, 3b in 66% overall yield. We could find no evidence for the formation of the corresponding *anti*-diol isomer (diastereoselectivity >50:1) while the related amino alcohol 6 was observed upon inspection of the crude reaction mixture by ¹H NMR (ratio 3:6 ~20:1) in accord with our earlier observations. Deiodination of 3 proceeded without incident to afford the unsaturated diol 7.

Scheme 1^4 Reagents and Conditions: (a) TsNCO, Et₂O, 0°C, 15 min. (b) I_2 , Et₂O, rt, 45 min; Ag₂CO₃, McCN-Et₂O (1:10), rt, 3h; 6N NaOH, MeOH, rt, 15h (66% from 2). (c) n-Bu₃SnH, AIBN, PhMe, reflux, 2h (81%). (d) 2-methoxypropene, cat. CSA, THF, rt, 2h (92%). (e) Ph3SnH, AIBN, PhMe, reflux, 90 min (87%). (f) 3 eq. mCPBA, CH2Cl2, rt, 3 days (96%). (g) lithium acetylide-EDA, THF-HMPA (4:1), п, 15h (81%). (h) TBDMSOTf, Et3N, CH2Cl2, 0°С→п, 2h (96%). (i) K2CO3, MeOH, п, 24h (95%). (j) MeLi, THF, -78°C→0°C, 30 min; ClCO2Me, 0°C (91%). (k) H₂, Lindlar's catalyst, THF. (l) HOAc/IN HCI/THF $(1:1:1)$, 65°C, 3h. (m) 1% DBU-THF (v/v), rt, 24h (60% from 19).

The installation of the C1 stereocenter required that the epoxidation of 7 occur in a cis-selective fashion relative to the hydroxy moieties at C7 and C8 to form 8. While there are many procedures available for carrying out directed diastereoselective epoxidation reactions of allylic or homoallylic alcohols, few of these methods exhibit the desired cis-selectivity when dealing with terminal, unsubstituted olefins.⁵ Transition metal (Ti, V) mediated epoxidations of allylic alcohols typically afford anti-isomers with good to excellent diastereoselectivity.^{5,6} Conversely, the mCPBA mediated epoxidations of both allylic and homoallylic alcohols are marginally cis-diastereoselective (3:2 for 3-buten-2-ol and 1.2:1 for 4-penten-2-ol).⁶ Since the diastereofacial preference using mCPBA is the same for each type of alcohol, we were intrigued by the possibility that the combination of both of these directing effects in the same substrate, 7, might result in an enhancement of this diastereoselection by some synergistic effect. Unfortunately, mCPBA epoxidation of 7 yielded a 3:2 mixture of the epoxides 8 and 9, suggesting that the allylic alcohol is acting alone in the diastereoselection. Although the crude reaction mixture appeared to be extremely clean by TLC and ¹H

NMR analysis, 8 and 9 were isolated in a combined yield of only 45%. Presumably the low yield is due to the water solubility and polarity of 8/9 with losses occurring during workup and/or chromatography. We have also studied the epoxidation reactions of monoprotected **alcohol derivatives** of 7 (TBDPS and MOM) using mCPBA as well as the VO(acac) $2/$ t-BuOOH⁷ epoxidation system. All of these reactions were unsatisfying, resulting in either poor conversion, low stereoselectivity or undesired side reactions.

An alternative approach involved conversion of 3 into the acetonide 10, followed by deiodination to afford the olefin **11.** Surprisingly, epoxidation of 11 with mCPBA was moderately diastercoselective. producing the epoxides 12 and 13 in a ratio of 2:l and in a combined yield of 96%. Since it was anticipated that inversion of the stereocenter at Cl in the undesired trans-isomer 13 could be accomplished in a straightforward fashion at a later stage, we carried on with the synthesis using this epoxide mixture.

Alkylation with lithium acerylide*EDA complex in THFIHMPA afforded the readily separable alcohols 14 and 15 in isolated yields of 55% and 26%, respectively. Unfortunately, treatment of 15 according to the Mitsunobu conditions described by Martin and Dodge⁸ (Ph₃P, p-nitrobenzoic acid and DEAD in PhMe at 25°C) resulted in the formation of E-enyne 16 in 76% and the desired benzoate 17 in only 7% yield. The alcohol 14 was protected as the TBDMS ether 18 and was then deprotonated with MeLi. Trapping of the resulting lithium acetylide with methyl chloroformate provided the α, β -acetylenic ester 19. Semihydrogenation of the alkyne over Lindlar's catalyst (5% Pd on Ca₂CO₃ poisoned with Pb) very cleanly produced the Z-olefin 20. There was no **evidence** for the formation of the corresponding E-olefm or overreduced product in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture and, therefore, the crude material was used in the subsequent reaction. Complete removal of both the silyl and acetal protecting groups in 20 as well as cyclization to the lactone diol 21 was effected in HOAc/IN HCI/THF $(1:1:1)$ at 65 \degree C. There was some indication that a small amount of cyclization of 21 to 1 $(-10\%$ by ¹H NMR analysis) had occurred under the acidic reaction conditions. Thus, the crude mixture was **used** once again and cyclization of 21 to 1 was completed under basic conditions using 1% DBU in THF (v/v) at room temperature.² The yield of 1 for the three steps from the α, β -acetylenic ester 19 is 60%. Synthetic (\pm)-9-deoxygoniopypyrone **(1)** (mp 185-186 °C, EtOH) exhibited spectral data (¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR) identical to that reported for the natural material.^{1b}

We have also carried the trans-epoxide 13 through the sequence described above and have isolated the diol lactone 22. It is very interesting to note that treatment of 22 with 1% DBU in THF at 25°C, conditions that bring about the cyclization of 21 to 1, does not result in the formation of 23. Starting material is recovered intact after 24h. Presumably, severe 1.3-diaxial interactions between either the phenyl substituent or the hydroxy group and the lactone moiety in the intrsmoleculsr Michael addition transition states that lead from 22 to 23 prevent the cyclization from occurring.

Refe wences ad *Notes*

- 1. (a) Fang, X.; Anderson, J.E.; Chang. C.; Fanwick. P.E.; McLaughlin, J.L. *J. Chem Sot. Perkin Tmns.2* 1990. 1655. (b) Fang, X.; Anderson, J.E.; Chang. C.; McLaughlin, J.L.; Fanwick, P.E. J. Nat. Prod. 1991.54, 1034.
- 2. Tsubuki. M.; Kanai, K.; Honda, T. J. *Chem Sot., Chem. Gmm.un.* 1592. 1640.
- 3. 4. (a) Friesen, R.W.; Blouin. M. *J. Org.* Chem. 1993.58, 1653. (b) Friesen, R.W.; Giroux, A. *Tetrahedron Lat.* 1993.34. 1867. (c) Friesen. R.W.; Kolaczewska. A.E. J. Org. *Chem.* 1991,56,4888. Compounds were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR and elemental analysis or high resolution
- mass spectraI analysis. For selected data, see reference 9.
- Hoveyda, A.H.; Evans, D.A.; Fu, G.C. *Chem* Rev. 1993,93,1307.
- **2:** (a) **Sharpless, K.B.; Verhoven, T.R. Aldrichimica** *Acm* **1979.12.63. (b) Johnson, R.A.; Sharpless, K.B. in** *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis,;* **Ojima, I.,** Ed.; VCH Publishers, Inc.: New York, 1993; Chapt 4.1.
- 7. A related MOM-monoprotected syn-diol was epoxidized with exceptionally high cisdiastereoselectivity using this reagent system. Roush, W.R.; Michaelides, M.R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, **27,3353.**
- 8. **Martin. S.F.; Dodge, J.A.** *Tetrahedron L&t.* 1991.32,3017.
- 9. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz and ¹³C NMR spectra at 100 MHz, both in CDCl₃. 3: mp 68.5-69.5 °C. ¹H δ 3.09 (br s, 1H), 3.23 (br s, 1H), 3.72 (br d, 1H, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J =$ **6.5 Hz), 5.80 (d, lH,** *J =* 1.8 Hz). 6.10 (dd, **1H.** *J =* **1.0, 1.8 Hz), 7.26-7.39 (m, SH).** *13C 675.8,* 81.5. 111.6. 126.7. 128.2. 128.3. 128.6, 139.5.

10: ¹H δ 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J = 0.8, 8.0 Hz), 4.83 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.99 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 6.28 **(dd. 1H,** *J* = 0.8, 1.5 Hz), 7.28-7.38 **(m, 5H).** ¹3C δ 27.4, 27.5, 82.8, 88.0, 109.0, 110.3, 126.5. 128.36, 128.42, 130.0, 136.7.

11: 1f-1 8 1.53 (s. 3H). 1.57 (s, 3H). 4.17 (app t, lH, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.64 (d, lH, *J =* 8.4 Hz), 5.20-5.27 (m. 2H), 5.87 **(m,** lH), 7.26-7.58 **(m,** 5H). 13C 6 27.0, 27.1, 82.9, 84.7, 109.3, 119.3, 126.4, 128.2 128.4. 133.9. 137.2.

12: 1H 6 1.50 (s. 3H). 1.55 (s, 3H). 2.48 (dd, lH, *J =* 2.6,5.3 Hz), 2.75 (dd, lH, *J =* 4.2.5.3 Hz), 3.06 (ddd, lH, J= 2.6,4.2,5.0 Hz), 3.62 (dd. lH, *J= 5.0,* 8.7 Hz), 4.91 (d, lH, *J=* 8.7 Hz), 7.35 (m, 5H).

13: JH 6 1.52 (s. 3H). 1.54 (s, 3H), 2.67 (dd, IH. *J =* 2.6.5.0 Hz), 2.81: (dd, lH, *J =* 4.0.5.0 Hz), 3.14 (ddd, lH, *J =* 2.6,4.0,5.1 Hz), 3.73 (dd, 1H. *J =* 5.1.8.1 Hz), 4.94 (d, lH, *J = 8.1* Hz), **7.35** (m, 5H).

14: lH 6 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.95 (t, IH, *J=* 2.7 Hz), 2.36 (ddd, lH, *J=* 2.7, 6.5, 16.7 Hz), 2.37 (br s, lH), 2.47 (ddd, lH, *J=* 2.7,7.3, 16.7 Hz), 3.74 (br m, lH), 3.91 (dd, lH, *J=* 2.0, 8.6 Hz), 4.91 (d, lH, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.30-7.41 (m, 5H). 13C 8 25.3,26.9, 27.2, 67.3,70.5, 79.1, 80.2, 83.8, 109.5, 126.8. 128.4, 128.7, 137.3.

15: 1H 6 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.97 (t, lH, *J=* 2.7 Hz), 2.15 (br s, 1H). 2.41-2.44 (m. 2H). 3.92- 3.99 (m, 2H), 4.98 (AB q, 1H, *J =* 3.8, 11.2 Hz), 7.26-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H). 13C 8 23.7, 27.0, 27.2,70.4,71.1.79.9,80.3,84.0, 109.5, 127.4, 128.3, 128.5, 138.4.

18: mp 60.5-61.5°C. ¹H δ 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.93 (t, lH, *J =* **2.7 Hz), 2.39 (ddd, IH, J = 2.7,6.0, 16.6 Hz), 2.58 (ddd, lH,** *J =* **2.7, 7.1, 16.6 Hz), 3.90 (ddd, lH,** *J =* **3.0,6.0.7.1 Hz), 4.07** (dd, 1H. *J =* 3.0, 8.4 Hz), 4.97 (d, 1H. *J =* 8.4 Hz). 7.34 (m, 5H). l3C 8 -4.5, -4.2, 18.1.24.2.25.8.27.0,27.4,69.6,70.4.78.6,81.1.84.2, 109.1, 127.2, 128.2, 128.5.138.3.

19: mp 50.5-51.5"C. lH 6 0.02 (s, 3H), 0.1 I (s. 3H). 0.84 (s, 9H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 2.49 (dd, lH, *J =* 6.2, 17.0 Hz), 2.69 (dd, 1H. *J =* 5.7, 17.0 Hz), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.96-4.08 (m, 2H), **4.91** (d, lH, *J =* 8.0 Hz), 7.25-7.40 (m, 5H). J3C 6 -4.6, 18.0,24.3, 25.7, 26.9, 27.3, 52.4.69.3, 74.4, 78.6, 84.3, 86.3, 109.2, 127.2, 128.3, 128.5, 138.0, 153.8.

20: 1 H δ 0.01 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 2.89 (m, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.85-3.96 (m, 2H). 4.90 (d. lH, *J=* 8.2 Hz), 5.74 (td. lH, *J=* 1.8, 11.5 Hz), 6.30 (td, 1H. *J =* 7.3, 11.5 Hz), 7.25-7.40 (m, 5H).

21: mp 99-100°C. ¹H δ 2.11 (dddd, 1H, *J* = 0.7, 3.8, 6.3, 18.6 Hz), 2.81 (tdd, 1H, *J* = 2.4, 12.6, 18.6 Hz), 3.31 (br s, lH), 3.44 (br s, lH), 3.63 (br m. IH), 4.18 (ddd, lH, *J =* 2.2. 3.8, 12.6 Hz), 4.95 (d, IH, *J* = 7.3 **Hz**), 5.92 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 0.7, 2.4, 9.8 **Hz**), 6.84 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 2.4, 6.3, 9.8 **Hz**), 7.33 (m, 5H).

(Received in USA 10 *May 1994; revised* 8 June 1994; *accepted* 10 June 1994)